



## **FACILITIES MANAGEMENT CENTRAL TECHNICAL SERVICES**

---

**T UJ 04 / 2025**

### **APB AW MULLER MAST LIGHTS AND STRUCTURAL MAINTENANCE WORKS**

---

#### **CONCEPT TENDER APPROVAL**

##### **1. BACKGROUND**

The University of Johannesburg APB UJ AW Muller Stadium had a light post failure in 2023 that fell onto a house outside the campus. The light post has now been disposed of, and the light has been replaced with a new post and LED light. As part of the remedial works, a full structural assessment was done for all the light posts at the AW Muller Stadium and adjacent sports fields. It was established that another light needs replacement, and various of the light structures need remedial work. Furthermore, the lights have maintenance issues, which will be addressed within this project.

The project scope will involve the replacement of the light post and lights, and all the components involved in restoring their functionality. It will also further address maintenance-related issues around structural integrity and electrical light components.

Additionally, the successful replacement of the AW Muller Stadium Lights and maintenance of the structural integrity and electrical functionality of the lights will significantly benefit the University's reputation and position locally and internationally by providing a reputable sports facility within the University.

##### **2. SCOPE OF WORK**

The Scope of Work for the APB AW Mast Lights Replacement and Structural Maintenance will precisely involve:

- Assembly and Erection of the Mast, including Mobile cranes for assembly.
- Electrical DB mounted in the base of the mast and all electrical accessories.
- Installation of concrete foundation and earthworks, including concrete tests.
- Testing and checking bolts, replacing the missing bolts, chipping and removing existing screed under the baseplate of the light post.
- Repair and replace part of the existing lights at the AW Muller Stadium.
- To manage the project scope execution and facilitate handover to the end-users.

### **3. EVALUATION CRITERIA**

The submitted tenders will be evaluated against the listed criteria below and in a specific sequence. A tenderer must meet all criteria to be considered in the subsequent evaluations.

The tender evaluation criteria are listed in the sequence below:

- Compliance with prescribed tender returnable documents.
- Compliance with the scope of work specifications.
- Technical competence to execute the work.

#### **Suppliers should submit the following:**

- UJ Procurement tender returnable documents
- Priced Bills of Quantities
- Program of work
- Method Statement with cash flow projections
- CVs, Qualifications and Organogram
- All qualifications and registrations should be certified
- 3ME/EP

**NOTE:** Failure to submit any of the required compliance documentation will result in the tenderer being disqualified from further evaluation.

1. Stage 2: Functionality Evaluation

Area	Criteria	Points per Item	Max Scoring Points
Relevant Experience Schedule	<p>The number of related projects completed in the last six (6) years – (demonstrate experience in a similar environment, project size, and scope).</p> <p>Appointment letters, completion certificates and reference letters must be submitted for each project listed. No marks will be allocated for inadequate information.</p> <p><b>Related Work Experience</b></p> <p>The Tenderer is to submit <b>Appointment Letters and Final Completion Certificate or Corresponding Reference Letters</b> of a similar nature completed within the last 6 years (2019-2025)</p> <p><b>0 Point</b> – Project Less than R 2 000 000.00  <b>5 Points</b> – Project R 2 000 000.01 – R 3 000 000.00  <b>10 Points</b> – Project R 3 000 000.01 – R 5 000 000.00  <b>15 Points</b> – Project R 5 000 000.01 and above</p>	40 points	40 points

Project Team & Schedule of Expertise	<p><b><u>Contractors Resources</u></b></p> <p>Profile of project team, Curriculum Vitaes, Qualifications, Professional Registration and Experience relating to Construction. Submit CVs with contactable references.</p> <p><b>Contracts Manager (16 Points)</b>  <i>Professional Registration</i>  <b>8 Points</b> – Registered with SACPCMP as a Pr.CPM / Pr CM  <b>0 Points</b> – No Proof of Professional Registration  <i>Qualification (experience will <b>NOT</b> be considered with no relevant registration or qualifications)</i>  Valid certified copy of Qualifications in the Built Environment = max 4 Points  <b>4 points</b> – Degree  <b>2 points</b> – National Diploma  <b>0 Points</b> – No proof of Qualification  <i>Experience</i>  <b>4 Points</b> – More than 7 years of post-qualification experience  <b>3 Points</b> – More than 5 and up to 7 years' post-qualification experience.  <b>2 Point</b> – More than 3 years and up to 5 years post-qualification experience  <b>0 Point</b> – 3 years or less post-qualification experience</p> <p><b>Site Agent (8 Points)</b>  <i>Qualifications</i>  Valid certified copy of Qualifications in the Built Environment = max 4 Points  <b>4 points</b> – National Diploma or Higher Qualification  <i>Experience (Experience will Only count with proof of qualification)</i>  <b>4 Points</b> – More than 7 years of post-qualification experience  <b>3 Points</b> – More than 5 and up to 7 years' post-qualification experience.  <b>2 Point</b> – More than 3 years and up to 5 years post-qualification experience  <b>0 Point</b> – 3 years or less post-qualification experience</p> <p><b>Quantity Surveyor (8 Points)</b>  <i>Qualifications</i>  Valid certified copy of Qualifications in the Built Environment = max 4 Points  <b>4 points</b> – National Diploma or Higher Qualification  <i>Experience (Experience will Only count with proof of qualification)</i>  <b>4 Points</b> – More than 7 years of post-qualification experience  <b>3 Points</b> – More than 5 and up to 7 years' post-qualification experience.  <b>2 Point</b> – More than 3 years and up to 5 years post-qualification experience</p>	<p>16 points</p> <p>8 points</p> <p>8 points</p>	<p>40 points</p>
--------------------------------------	---	--	------------------

	<p><b>0 Point</b> – 3 years or less post-qualification experience</p> <p><b>General Foreman (8)</b>  <i>Qualifications</i>  Valid certified copy of Qualifications in the Built Environment = max 4 Points  <b>4 Points</b> – Valid certified copy of a Trade Certificate in the Built Environment: Bricklaying or Plastering  <b>0 Points</b> – No proof of Trade Certificate  <i>Experience (Experience will <b>NOT</b> count without a Trade certificate)</i>  <b>4 Points</b> – More than 7 years of post-qualification experience  <b>3 Points</b> – More than 5 and up to 7 years' post-qualification experience.  <b>2 Point</b> – More than 3 years and up to 5 years post-qualification experience  <b>0 Point</b> – 3 years or less post-qualification experience</p>	8 points	
Project Brief/Plan & Methodology	<p><b>Program and Methodology</b>  Proposed installation and construction methodology, logistical arrangements, timelines, suppliers, project management, control, and oversight.</p> <p>- <b>Construction Programme</b>  <b>5 points</b> – Construction Programme detailing all activities in the Bill of Quantities (BoQ) and all within the required timeframe.  <b>2.5 points</b> – Construction Programme detailing all activities in the Bill of Quantities (BoQ) does not align  <b>0 point</b> – The Construction Program is not aligned with the BoQ or within the required timeframes.</p> <p>- <b>Methodology (Between 5 to 10 pages)</b>  <b>10 points</b> – Good methodology submitted, which is specific and tailored to suit the objective (Shows how to manage the project, cash flow projections about timelines, labour projections, etc  <b>5 points</b> – An average Project Approach / Methodology Paper is submitted.  <b>0 point</b> – Methodology does not Adequately Deal with the Objectives and or is too Generic</p>	<p>5 points</p> <p>10 points</p>	15 points
Project Organogram	Provide an organogram chart indicating the key personnel's position and role in the project.	5 points	5 points
<b>Total</b>			<b>100</b>

**A tenderer must obtain a minimum of 70 points for functionality to be evaluated further for quantitative price and B-BBEE evaluation criteria.**

- **Price affordability or infeasibility.**

- **Price Affordability** is the evaluation of a supplier's pricing to determine if it is within the available UJ budget for the appointment and, therefore, that the appointment is affordable.
- **Price Infeasibility** is the evaluation of a supplier's pricing to determine if the supplier can do the work at the proposed price. An infeasible price is one where it is either too low or too high compared to a professional QS estimate, and there is a significant risk that the supplier would not be able to complete the work at their tendered price, or in the case of the price being too high, it would therefore be an uncompetitive price.

- **Price and B-BBEE** in the following scoring matrix:

CATEGORY	SCORING CRITERIA	ALLOCATED POINTS
<b>BROAD BASED BLACK ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT</b>		<b>20</b>
<b>B-BBEE</b>		
<b>Contribution Level</b>	20	
Level 1 Contributor	18	
Level 2 Contributor	14	
Level 3 Contributor	12	
Level 4 Contributor	8	
Level 5 Contributor	6	
Level 6 Contributor	4	
Level 7 Contributor	2	
Level 8 Contributor	Non-	
Level 9/0 Contributor	Compliant contributor	
<b>PRICE</b>		<b>80</b>
<b>PRICE</b>		<b>80</b>
<b>B-BBEE</b>		<b>20</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>

Descriptions:

EME – Turnover between R0.00 to R10, 000,000.00 annually

QSE – Turnover between R10, 000,000.00 to R50, 000,000.00 per annum