

Functionality Evaluation Criteria

No	Functionality Criteria	Points	Maximum Points Attainable
(i)	<p><b><u>Related Work Experience</u></b></p> <p>The Tenderer is to submit <b>Relevant Appointment Letters and Final Completion Certificate</b> or <b>Corresponding Reference Letters</b> of a similar nature completed within the last 6 years (2016-2023). All relevant documents are to be signed, where applicable.</p> <p><b>0 Points</b> - Project Less than R 4 000 000.00  <b>5 Points</b> - Project R 4 000 000.01 – R 6 000 000.00  <b>10 Points</b> - Project R 6 000 000.01 – R 8 000 000.00  <b>15 Points</b> - Project R8 000 000.01 and above</p>		50
(ii)	<p><b><u>Contractors Resources</u></b></p> <p>Profile of project team, Curriculum Vitae, Qualifications, Professional Registration and Experience relating to Construction. Submit CVs with contactable references.</p> <p><b>Contracts Manager (12 Points)</b>  <i>Professional Registration</i></p> <p><b>6 Points</b> - Registered with SACPCMP as a Pr.CPM / Pr. CM  <b>0 Points</b> – No Proof of Professional Registration / Invalid Registration</p> <p><b>NOTE:</b> <i>If no valid Professional Registration is provided, all remaining marks will be forfeited under the Contracts Manager resource.</i></p> <p><i>Experience</i></p> <p><b>6 Points</b> - More than 7 years post qualification relevant experience  <b>3 Points</b> - More than 5 and up to 7 years post qualification relevant experience.  <b>2 Points</b> - More than 3 years and up to 5 years post qualification relevant experience  <b>0 Points</b> - 3 years and less post qualification relevant experience</p> <p><b>Site Agent (12 Points)</b>  <i>Qualifications</i></p>		30

	<p>Valid certified copy of Qualifications in the Built Environment = max 3 Points (if no qualifications, then experience will NOT count)</p> <p><b>6 Points</b> - National Diploma or Higher Qualification  <b>0 Points</b> - No proof /invalid /uncertified qualification</p> <p><i>Experience</i></p> <p><b>6 Points</b> - More than 10 years post qualification relevant experience  <b>3 Points</b> - More than 7 and up to 9 years post qualification relevant experience.  <b>1 Point</b> - More than 3 years and up to 6 years post qualification relevant experience  <b>0 Points</b> - 2 years and less post qualification relevant experience</p> <p><b>General Foreman (6)</b>  <i>Qualifications</i></p> <p><b>3 Points</b> - Valid certified copy of a Trade Certificate in The Built Environment: Bricklaying or Plastering  <b>0 Points</b> - No proof of Trade Certificate / Invalid / Uncertified</p> <p><i>Experience</i></p> <p><b>3 Points</b> - More than 10 years post qualification relevant experience  <b>2 Points</b> - More than 7 and up to 10 years post qualification relevant experience.  <b>1 Point</b> - More than 3 years and up to 6 years post qualification relevant experience  <b>0 Points</b> - 2 years and less post qualification relevant experience</p>		
(iii)	<p><b>Program and Methodology</b></p> <p>Supplier to provide a comprehensive project plan detailing the proposed installation &amp; construction methodology, logistical arrangements, program schedule/timelines, suppliers, and project management, control, and oversight.</p> <p>– <b>Construction Programme (10 Points)</b></p> <p><b>10 Points</b> – Construction Programme detailing all activities in the Bill of Quantities (BoQ) and all within the required timeframe.  <b>5 Points</b> – Construction program incorporates some of the activities in the BoQ and is within the required time frame.</p>		10

	<b>0 Points</b> – Construction Program is not aligned with the BoQ or is not within the required timeframes.		
(iv)	<p>– <b>Methodology (10 Points)</b></p> <p>Provide a minimum of 1–2-page brief of how you intend to execute the project from inception to completion.</p> <p><b>10 Points</b> - Good methodology. The methodology submitted is specific and tailored to suit the objectives. Details indicate a substantial understanding of the requirements. The details are arranged logically, clearly linked, and practical.</p> <p><b>5 Points</b> - Average methodology. The methodology submitted demonstrates a basic analysis and understanding of the requirements and objectives. The details are arranged logically, although they occasionally fail to indicate a clear link to each other. Some details are impractical.</p> <p><b>0 Points</b> - Poor Methodology. The methodology does not adequately cover the objectives. Does not indicate an understanding of the requirements. It is too generic or is not related to the project at hand.</p>		10
	<b>Total Points Awarded</b>		100