

The tender evaluation criteria are listed in sequence below:

1. Phase 1: Functionality

No	Functionality Criteria	Points	Maximum Points Attainable
(i)	<b>Relevant Experience Schedule</b>  No similar projects within the past 5 years. <b>Reference letters must be submitted for each project listed.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 similar projects (18) + references (12)</li> <li>• 4 similar projects (24) + references (16)</li> <li>• 5 + similar projects (30) + references (20)</li> </ul>	30 points 40 points 50 points	50/30
(ii)	<b>Project Brief/Plan</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understanding of the project</li> <li>• Understanding the requirements. Provide a 1-page brief of your understanding of the requirements (5)</li> <li>• Summary of the design includes the type of equipment to be used, incorporate energy efficient equipment and general configuration (5).</li> <li>• Summary of measures to put in place to ensure that water will always be safe for a drink, reference relevant standards (5).</li> <li>• Summary to indicate how this will affect fire and irrigation water supply (5).</li> <li>• Intended execution (approach) of the project. Provide a 1 page brief of how you intend to execute the project from inception to close-out using the artificial turf and the synthetic surface (5)               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work-plan indicating all 6 work stages, the timelines and project duration (5)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5 points  5 points  5 points  5 points  5 points  5 points	30 / (20)
(iii)	<b><u>Project Team</u></b>		

	<p>List of the key personnel proposed for the project which must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Architecture, Quantity Surveyor, Civil and Structural Engineer – must be professionally registered</li> <li>• The team leader of all professional service providers</li> <li>• The team leader of the proposed contractor</li> </ul> <p>Overall, Team Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Key personnel's qualification certificates</li> <li>• Key personnel's professional registration</li> <li>• Key personnel's experience of similar work.</li> <li>• Provide a short CV's of each of the key personnel that will be assigned to the project.</li> </ul>		15
(v)	<p><b>Project Organogram</b></p> <p>Provide an organogram chart indicating key personnel's position and role in the project. (5)</p>		5
	<b>Total Points Awarded</b>		100

Notes:

***A minimum of 70 points is required by any tenderer in terms of the Stage 2: Functionality. All tenderers who achieve 70 points or more will be evaluated in terms of Stage 3: Financial (Price and B-BBEE).***

**(i) Related Experience (50 Points)**

SCORE	DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIENCE
Score <b>0</b>	No or inadequate information supplied to determine scoring level.
Score <b>10</b> (poor)	Tenderer has successfully completed construction projects of similar nature with a project value of more than R 700 000.00 with positive references.
Score <b>30</b> (adequate)	Tenderer has successfully completed construction projects of similar nature with project values of greater than R 1 million and with positive references.
Score <b>40</b> (good)	Tenderer has successfully completed construction projects of similar nature with project values of greater than R 2 million with positive references.

Score <b>50</b> (excellent)	Tenderer has successfully completed construction projects of similar nature with project values of greater than R 3 million with positive references.

Contractors Experience: (25 Points)

Score <b>15</b>	Profile of project team/sub-contractor
Score <b>10</b>	Qualifications and experience relating to building renovations within a student residential building.

Programme Methodology: (25 Points)

Score <b>15</b>	Proposed working schedule
Score <b>10</b>	Methodology of how work will be implemented in detail