# GUIDELINES FOR QUALITY REVIEWS IN SERVICE AND SUPPORT DIVISIONS BY 2016

UNIVERSITY OF JOHANNESBURG

<u> </u>				
Document number				
Custodian/Responsible	Registrar			
executive				
Responsible division	Unit for Quality Promotion			
Status	An addendum to the <i>UJ Quality Promotion Plan:</i> 2010 –			
	2016			
	Draft: 26 January 2012			
Approved by				
Date of approval				
Amendments				
Dates of amendments				
Review date				
Related documents				
UJ documents		National documents		
UJ Quality Promotion Po	licy			
UJ Quality Promotion Pla	UJ Quality Promotion Plan			
Stakeholders affected by this				
document (divisions and divisions				
who should be familiar with it):				
Website address of this document:				

### **List of Contents**

NUMBER	CONTENTS	PAGE
1.	Rationale and purpose	3
2.	Interpretation	3
3.	Definition of quality review	3
4.	Quality reviews up to 2016: Guidelines	4
	Annexure A: Proposed schedule for reviews	5

## GUIDELINES FOR QUALITY REVIEWS IN SERVICE AND SUPPORT DIVISIONS BY 2016

#### 1. RATIONALE AND PURPOSE

According to the *UJ Quality Promotion Policy*, quality promotion is an ongoing process aimed at continuous improvement of the University's core functions and the support thereof. This implies that faculties, as well as academic development, service and support divisions are responsible for the continuous improvement of their own activities and functions. Continuous improvement is based on the quality improvement cycle, namely planning, implementation, evaluation and improvement.

The *UJ Quality Promotion Plan:* 2010 – 2016 attempts to align the quality promotion activities throughout the institution.

The purpose of this document is to develop a set of guidelines that:

- (i) Provide guidelines to divisions on quality reviews up to 2015 as an addendum to the existing *UJ Quality Promotion Plan: 2010 2016*.
- (ii) Provisionally schedule the reviews in different divisions, subject to negotiations with these divisions.

#### 2. INTERPRETATION

The following quality review-related processes in the UJ have to be aligned with national requirements:

#### 2.1 UJ requirements

UJ requirements are addressed in the UJ Quality Promotion Policy and the UJ Quality Promotion Plan: 2010 – 2016.

#### 2.2 National requirements

National processes and requirements could apply to various divisions. Accreditation by professional councils or regulatory bodies is subject to site visits by the relevant professional council/ regulatory body. In preparation for these site visits, divisions usually conduct a self-evaluation by applying the relevant body's criteria.

#### 2.3 Internal audits

In some support divisions an internal audit may be considered. This would entail a less formal approach in which criteria could be further customized to accommodate specific needs. The peer review panel could in those cases be comprised of members internal to the division/UJ as applicable. Units/divisions should discuss this possibility with their line managers and the Unit for Quality Promotion. If an internal audit is conducted, a report must be submitted to the SQC not later than February 2016.

#### 3. DEFINITION OF QUALITY REVIEW

Formal quality reviews consist of an evidence-based self-evaluation report and a formal peer review. The criteria to be applied are selected from the adapted HEQC criteria. If applicable, self-evaluations should also apply relevant criteria to comply with the requirements of professional/regulatory bodies.

#### 4. QUALITY REVIEWS UP TO 2016: GUIDELINES

#### 4.1 Scope of the reviews

All academic development, service and support divisions (or individual sub-divisions) should be reviewed by 2016.

#### 4.2 Purpose of the reviews

The main purpose of all the reviews is to improve the quality of service delivery in academic development, service and support departments with the view to improve support to the core functions of the university (teaching and learning, research and community engagement). These reviews are conducted parallel to the reviews of programmes, modules and academic departments (see *Guidelines for Programme Reviews by 2015*).

#### 4.3 Criteria for the reviews

The criteria to be applied should be selected from the adapted HEQC criteria. Relevant criteria to comply with professional councils' requirements should be included as applicable.

The division may apply additional division-specific criteria.

# 4.4 Schedule for Quality Reviews in academic development, service and support divisions

In the proposed schedule, divisions with a perceived direct impact on the core functions of the university (teaching and learning, research and community engagement) are scheduled to undergo the quality review first. Other divisions will be reviewed later during the proposed time frame. The spread of reviews is also influenced by the fact that the next round of institutional quality audits will focus specifically on teaching and learning.

See the proposed schedule for quality reviews in Annexure A.

#### **ANNEXURE A**

# PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR THE QUALITY REVIEWS OF SERVICE AND SUPPORT DIVISIONS AT UJ

Division	Units		Status	Proposed Date of Review Initiation
Operations	Campus Mana	agement		2014
	Protection Se	rvices		2013
	Property Mana	agement Services	Internal	2016
	Occupational Safety			2014
	Technical Ser	vices		2015
	Environmental and Transportation Services			2013
HR	Business Partnering and Resourcing			2012
	Employee Re	lations		2014
	Organisationa	I Development		2014
	Shared Service	es		2015
Institutional	Marketing and	Brand Management	Internal	2016
Advancement	Student Marke		In progress	
	Strategic	Alumni		2013
	Partnerships	Development		2014
		Community Engagement		2015
	Arts and Culture		Internal	2016
	Corporate Communication		Internal	2016
Commercialisation and Technology Transfer				2014
Institutional Office for HIV and AIDS				2013
Language Unit				2015
Campus Health Services				2014
Occupational Health			In progress	2012
Financial	Budget and Project Management		Completed	2010
Governance	Financial Planning, Cash Management and Reporting			2014
	Strategic Financial Projects and Sundry Debts			2014
	Student Finances/ Revenue		Completed	2009
Information and Communication Systems				2014
Library and			Completed	2008

Information			
Centre			
Research and	Research Office		2012
Innovation	Postgraduate Centre		2012
Expenditure	Salaries	In progress	2012
	Creditors		
	Procurement		
	Fixed Assets		
	Stores		
	Supply Chain Management		
	Tenders		
Academic	PsyCaD	Completed	2011
Development and	CenTaL	Completed	2008
Support	CPASD		
	ADC	In progress	2012
Student Affairs	Student Accommodation and	In progress	2012
	Residence Life		
	Student Life and Governance		2014
Central Academic		Completed	2008
Admin			
General	Computer Labs		2012
Administration	AVU		2012
	Postal Services	Internal	2016
	Graphics Studio	Internal	2016
	Printing		
	Op-scanners		
Student			
Enrolment Centre			